arXiv:0809.3558v1 [math.RT] 21 Sep 2008

Strong Lefschetz elements of the coinvariant rings of finite Coxeter groups

Toshiaki Maeno, Yasuhide Numata and Akihito Wachi

Abstract

For the coinvariant rings of finite Coxeter groups of types other than H_4, we show that a homogeneous element of degree one is a strong Lefschetz element if and only if it is not fixed by any reflections. We also give the necessary and sufficient condition for strong Lefschetz elements in the invariant subrings of the coinvariant rings of Weyl groups.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 20F55; secondary 13A50, 14M15, 14N15.

Keywords: Coxeter group, Weyl group, coinvariant ring, strong Lefschetz property, flag variety, hard Lefschetz theorem.

1 Introduction

Let W be a finite Coxeter group generated by reflections acting on an n-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space V. The polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[V]$ is then equipped with the action of W. The *coinvariant ring* of W is defined by $R = \mathbb{R}[V]/J$, where J is the graded ideal of $\mathbb{R}[V]$ generated by the W-invariant polynomials without constant terms. The first purpose of this paper is to give the necessary and sufficient condition for the *strong Lefschetz elements* (Definition 3 below) of R:

Theorem 1. *Let W be a finite Coxeter group which does not contain irreducible components of type H_4. Then a homogeneous element ℓ of degree one is a strong Lefschetz element if and only if ℓ is not fixed by any reflections of W.* □

The second purpose is to give the necessary and sufficient condition for strong Lefschetz elements of the *parabolic invariant* R^{W_S}, which is by definition the invariant subring of R under the action of a parabolic subgroup W_S of W:

Theorem 2. *Let W be a Weyl group, and W_S a parabolic subgroup of W. Then a homogeneous element ℓ of degree one of the parabolic invariant R^{W_S} is a strong Lefschetz element if and only if ℓ is not fixed by any reflections in $W \setminus W_S$.* $\square$

The notion of the strong Lefschetz property for commutative Artinian graded rings is an abstraction of a property of the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ of a compact Kähler manifold X. The Hard Lefschetz Theorem (Proposition 7. see [GH78], e.g.) tells us that the multiplication by the class of the Kähler form induces an isomorphism between $H^i(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $H^{2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - i}(X, \mathbb{R})$. In view of such a property of the cohomology ring, the strong Lefschetz property is defined as follows:

Definition 3. A graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{m} R_d$ having a symmetric Hilbert function is said to have the *strong Lefschetz property*, if there exists an element $\ell \in R_1$ such that the multiplication map $\times \ell^{m-2i} : R_i \to R_{m-i}$ $(f \mapsto \ell^{m-2i} f)$ is bijective for every $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. In this case, ℓ is called a *strong Lefschetz element.*

It should be remarked that we can define the strong Lefschetz property for graded rings with non-symmetric Hilbert functions (see [HMNW03], e.g.). In this paper, we only consider the strong Lefschetz property for symmetric Hilbert functions.

Our interest is the condition for an element in R_1 to be a strong Lefschetz element. It is not a trivial problem even in the case of the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ of a Kähler manifold X. In fact, the Kähler cone of X is only part of the set of the strong Lefschetz elements in $H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$.

When W is a Weyl group (i.e. crystallographic Coxeter group), its coinvariant ring R is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the flag variety G/B, where G is the Lie group corresponding to W, and B is a Borel subgroup of G. Moreover the parabolic invariant R^{W_S} is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the partial flag variety G/P, where P is the parabolic subgroup of G whose Weyl group is W_S. Thus R and R^{W_S} have the strong Lefschetz property for Weyl groups W from the geometric result. By an additional algebraic argument, Theorem 1 (resp. Theorem 2) determines the whole set of the strong Lefschetz elements of R (resp. R^{W_S}) for Weyl groups W, and the set of the strong Lefschetz elements includes the Kähler cone as one of the connected components.

When W is not a Weyl group (i.e. non-crystallographic Coxeter group), we have to study the strong Lefschetz property of the coinvariant ring R by algebraic arguments, since we do not have geometric realizations of the coinvariant rings. For the non-crystallographic part of Theorem 1, we give the necessary and sufficient condition for strong Lefschetz elements of R for Coxeter groups of type H_3 or $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$. In particular, Theorem 1 proves the strong Lefschetz property of the coinvariant rings of types H_3 and $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$. For the type H_4, the strong Lefschetz property of the coinvariant ring is proved by [NW07], but the necessary and sufficient condition for strong Lefschetz elements is not given yet.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we review the coinvariant rings of finite Coxeter groups, and we give the necessary condition for the strong Lefschetz elements in Section 3. In Section 4, we review the coinvariant rings of Weyl groups from a geometric viewpoint. The necessary condition given in Section 3 is proved to be also sufficient in Section 4 for Weyl groups and in Section 5 for Coxeter groups of types H_3 and $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$. The proof of Theorem 1 is completed here. In Section 6 we study the strong Lefschetz elements of parabolic invariants of coinvariant rings of Coxeter groups, and prove Theorem 2.

We would like to thank Hideaki Morita who posed us a problem on the main theorems. We would also like to thank Junzo Watanabe for his valuable comments on this study. The first author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #19740013.

2 The coinvariant rings of finite Coxeter groups

In this section we review the structure of coinvariant rings of finite Coxeter groups briefly. Irreducible finite Coxeter groups are classified as Table 1 (see [Hum90] for instance). Irreducible Coxeter groups of types from A to G are said to be *crystallographic*, and the others are said to be *non-crystallographic*. Crystallographic ones are also called *Weyl groups*. Finite Coxeter groups decompose into direct products of irreducible Coxeter groups.

Let W be a finite Coxeter group generated by n simple reflections $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$

Table 1: finite Coxeter groups

type	A_n	B_n	D_n	E_6	E_7	E_8
$\#W$	$(n+1)!$	$2^n n!$	$2^{n-1}n!$	$2^7 3^4 5$	$2^{10}3^4 5\ 7$	$2^{14}3^5 5^2 7$
#(reflections)	$n(n+1)/2$	n^2	$n(n-1)$	36	63	120

type	F_4	G_2	H_3	H_4	$\mathrm{I}_2(m)$
$\#W$	$2^7 3^2$	12	120	$2^6 3^2 5^2$	$2m$
#(reflections)	24	6	15	60	m

acting on an n-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space V. Let $l(w)$ be the *length* of $w \in W$, which is by definition the length l of the shortest expression $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l}$ for w. It is known that there exists a unique element $w_0 \in W$ of maximum length, which is called the *longest element*, and that the length of w_0 is equal to the number of reflections in W (see [Hum90], e.g.). Let J be the graded ideal of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[V]$ generated by the W-invariant polynomials without constant terms, and $R = \mathbb{R}[V]/J$ the *coinvariant ring* of W. Then it is known that the dimension of the vector subspace R_d of homogeneous degree d is equal to the number of elements in W with length d, and hence one has the homogeneous decomposition of R [BGG73, Hil81]:

$$R = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{m} R_d \qquad (m = l(w_0), \quad \dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_d = \#\{w \in W\ ;\ l(w) = d\}).$$

The Hilbert function of R is *symmetric*, that is, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_{m-d}$ for $d = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$.

Let W be a Weyl group, and Δ its root system. Let G be a connected, simply-connected and semi-simple complex Lie group corresponding to Δ, and B its Borel subgroup. On the one hand, we have the cohomology ring $H^*(G/B, \mathbb{R})$ of the flag variety G/B . It follows from the Hard Lefschetz theorem (Proposition 7) that $H^*(G/B, \mathbb{R})$ has the strong Lefschetz property. On the other hand, we have the coinvariant ring $R = \mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{h}^*]/J$ of W, where $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is the real vector space spanned by the root system Δ, and therefore W acts on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. It is known that $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$R \simeq H^*(G/B, \mathbb{R}) \qquad \text{with } R_d \simeq H^{2d}(G/B, \mathbb{R}), \tag{1}$$

(see [Bor53], [BGG73, 1.3. Proposition], [Hil81, Theorem 2.7], e.g.). In particular, it turns out that the coinvariant ring R has the strong Lefschetz property.

When W is a non-crystallographic Coxeter group, there is no geometric realization of the coinvariant ring. However, the coinvariant rings have the strong Lefschetz property by Subsections 5.1 and 5.2 for H_3 and $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$ and by [NW07] for H_4. Summarizing the above arguments, we have the following:

Proposition 4. *The coinvariant rings of finite Coxeter groups have the strong Lefschetz property.*

3 The necessary condition for strong Lefschetz elements

As noted in Proposition 4, the coinvariant rings R of finite Coxeter groups W have the strong Lefschetz property. In this section we give the necessary condition for the strong Lefschetz elements of R.

Theorem 5. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{m} R_d$ be the coinvariant ring of a finite Coxeter group W (possibly non-crystallographic). If $\ell \in R_1$ is a strong Lefschetz element, then ℓ is not fixed by any reflections of W.*

Proof. By [BGG73], [Hil81], the subspace R_m of the maximum degree is one-dimensional, and spanned by an anti-invariant element, where an element $f \in R$ is said to be anti-invariant if f is sent to $-f$ by any reflections of W.

Take a strong Lefschetz element $\ell \in R_1$, and assume that ℓ is fixed by a reflection $s \in W$. On the one hand $\ell^m = 0$, since $\ell^m \in R_m$ is also fixed by s, and each element in R_m is anti-invariant. On the other hand $\ell^m \neq 0$, since the multiplication map $\times \ell^m : R_0 \to R_m$ is bijective by the definition of strong Lefschetz elements. This is a contradiction. We thus have proved that a strong Lefschetz element is not fixed by any reflections. ☐

Example 6 (type A_{n-1})**.** Let W be the symmetric group S_n on n letters, and acting on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ via permutation of the variables. Let R be the coinvariant ring $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/J$, where J is the graded ideal generated by the symmetric polynomials without constant terms. In this case, by Theorem 5, if $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n \in R_1$ is a strong Lefschetz element, then $a_i \neq a_j$ for any $i \neq j$. ☐

4 Coinvariant rings of Weyl groups as the cohomology rings of flag varieties

In this section, we study the strong Lefschetz elements of the coinvariant rings of the crystallographic Coxeter groups (i.e. Weyl groups). If the Coxeter group W is crystallographic, then its coinvariant ring is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the corresponding flag variety (Equation (1)). The argument in this section is based on the geometric property of the flag variety, so it is not applicable to the case of non-crystallographic Coxeter groups. Here let us remind of the Hard Lefschetz Theorem (see [GH78], e.g.).

Proposition 7 (The Hard Lefschetz Theorem). *Let* (X, ω) *be a compact Kähler manifold. Denote by* L *the multiplication operator by the Kähler class* $[\omega] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. *Then*

$$L^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - i} : H^i(X, \mathbb{R}) \to H^{2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - i}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism for $i = 0, \ldots, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$.

Next, let us recall Kleiman's criterion for ampleness. For a projective complex manifold X, we define

$$N^1(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} / (\text{numerical equivalence}),$$

where $\mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Picard group of X. Let $Z_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-linear space of the formal linear combinations of curves on X. We also define

$$N_1(X) = Z_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} / (\text{numerical equivalence}).$$

Denote by $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ the closed convex cone in $N_1(X)$ spanned by the images of curves in $Z_1(X)$.

Proposition 8 (Kleiman's criterion [Kle66]). *An element* $L \in N^1(X)$ *is contained in the ample cone if and only if* $(L, C) > 0$ *for all* $C \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X) \setminus \{0\}$.

Now we consider the flag variety G/B corresponding to a Weyl group W as in Section 2. Let Δ be the root system corresponding to W, and Σ the set of the simple roots of Δ determined by the Borel subgroup B. Let $\mathfrak{h}^*$ be the real vector space spanned by Δ, which is canonically isomorphic to $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{R})$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ the dual space of $\mathfrak{h}^*$, which is canonically isomorphic to

$H_2(G/B, \mathbb{R})$. More generally, if P is a parabolic subgroup of G and $\Sigma_P \subset \Sigma$ is the corresponding subset, then we have

$$H^2(G/P, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{h}_P^* := \bigoplus_{\alpha \notin \Sigma_P} \mathbb{R} \cdot \alpha \subset \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

$$H_2(G/P, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{h}_P := \mathfrak{h} / \bigoplus_{\alpha^\vee \in \Sigma_P^\vee} \mathbb{R} \cdot \alpha^\vee.$$

We regard the set $\Sigma^\vee$ of the dual of the simple roots as a subset of $\mathfrak{h}$, where the dual root $\alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ of a root $\alpha \in \Delta$ is defined by $\langle \alpha', \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2(\alpha', \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha)$ (for any $\alpha' \in \Delta$) using the natural pairing $\langle \, , \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\mathfrak{h}$ and the invariant inner product $(,)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Then

$$\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(G/P) = \sum_{\alpha^\vee \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_P} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot \alpha^\vee$$

under the identification $H_2(G/P, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{h}_P$. In fact, Chevalley [Che58] has shown that the line bundle L_λ over G/B corresponding to a weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$ is ample if and only if λ satisfies $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$, for all $\alpha \in \Sigma^\vee$. Since every ample line bundle corresponds to the class of a Kähler form in $H^2(G/P, \mathbb{R})$ and hence it gives a strong Lefschetz element in $H^*(G/P, \mathbb{R})$ from the Hard Lefschetz Theorem, we have the following.

Proposition 9. *If $\ell \in \mathfrak{h}_P^* = H^2(G/P, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the condition $\langle \ell, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha^\vee \in \Sigma^\vee \smallsetminus \Sigma_P^\vee$, then ℓ is a strong Lefschetz element in $H^*(G/P, \mathbb{R})$.*

The Weyl chambers with respect to the root system Δ is by definition the connected components of $\{x \in \mathfrak{h}^* \; ; \; \langle x, \alpha^\vee \rangle \neq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}$, and the fundamental Weyl chamber is the connected component $\{x \in \mathfrak{h}^* \; ; \; \langle x, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha^\vee \in \Sigma^\vee\}$, which is equal to the Kähler cone under the identification $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{h}^*$. Note that the image of a strong Lefschetz element of R under an action of the Weyl group is also a strong Lefschetz element. Since the Weyl group acts on the set of the Weyl chambers transitively, we obtain the following sufficient condition for the strong Lefschetz element.

Corollary 10. *Let R be the coinvariant ring of the Weyl group. An element $\ell \in R_1$ is a strong Lefschetz element if ℓ is not fixed by any reflections of W.*

5 The sufficient condition for strong Lefschetz elements

In this section we prove that the condition in Theorem 5 is also the sufficient condition for the strong Lefschetz elements of the coinvariant rings R of Coxeter groups W of types other than H_4. Thus our first main theorem (Theorem 1) is proved by Theorems 5 and 11 below.

Theorem 11. *Let W be a finite Coxeter group which does not contain irreducible components of type H_4. Then a homogeneous element ℓ of R_1 of degree one is a strong Lefschetz element of R if ℓ is not fixed by any reflections of W.* $\square$

If a Coxeter group W is a direct product of two Coxeter groups, then the coinvariant ring of W is the tensor product of their coinvariant rings. Thus we may assume that W is an irreducible Coxeter group. We already give the proof of Theorem 11 for Weyl groups (Corollary 10), and the remaining non-crystallographic Coxeter groups are of types H_3, H_4 and $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$. We give the proof of Theorem 11 for the types H_3 and $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$ in the following subsections. Theorem 11 is still conjectural for H_4, although the strong Lefschetz property of the coinvariant ring of type H_4 has been proved by [NW07].

5.1 The coinvariant ring of $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$

In this subsection we prove Theorem 11 for the irreducible Coxeter group of type $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$. As a group acting on $\mathbb{R}^2$, the dihedral group $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$ is generated by two simple reflections $s_1 = s_{\beta(0)}$ and $s_2 = s_{\beta((m-1)\pi/m)}$, where $\beta(\psi) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unit vector of angle ψ, and $s_{\beta(\psi)} \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the reflection with respect to the vector $\beta(\psi)$. These generators are subject to the relation $(s_1 s_2)^m = e$, where e denotes the identity element of $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$.

Put $\theta = \pi/m$. The group $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$ has m reflections $s_{\beta(k\theta)}$ corresponding to the positive roots $\beta(k\theta)$ $(0 \leq k \leq m-1)$ and $2m$ elements as in the following lemma:

Lemma 12. *Let $\alpha_1 = \beta(0)$ and $\alpha_2 = \beta((m-1)\theta)$ be the simple roots. Define elements a_k and b_k by alternating products of s_1 and s_2 as*

$a_k = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_i$ *(product of k reflections beginning with s_1. $i = 1$ or 2),*
$b_k = s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 \cdots s_j$ *(product of k reflections beginning with s_2. $j = 1$ or 2),*

for $k \geq 0$. Note that a_k and b_k are of length k, only when $0 \leq k \leq m$.

Then the diagram of the Bruhat order on $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$ is as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & a_1 \arrow[r, "\beta(\theta)"] \arrow[dd, "\alpha_2"] & a_2 & \cdots & a_k \arrow[r, "\beta(k\theta)"] \arrow[ddr, "\alpha_2"] & a_{k+1} & \cdots & a_{m-1} \arrow[dr, "\alpha_2"] & \\
e \arrow[ur, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[dr, "\alpha_2"'] & & & & & & & & a_m = b_m, \\
 & b_1 \arrow[r, "\beta((m-2)\theta)"'] \arrow[uur, "\alpha_1"] & b_2 & \cdots & b_k \arrow[r, "\beta((m-k-1)\theta)"'] \arrow[uur, "\alpha_1"] & b_{k+1} & \cdots & b_{m-1} \arrow[ur, "\alpha_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

where $w \xrightarrow{\beta} w'$ means that $s_\beta w = w'$, $l(w) + 1 = l(w')$ and β is a positive root.

In particular, the Hilbert function $H(d) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_d$ of the coinvariant ring $R = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{m} R_d$ is given by $(H(d))_{d=0}^{m} = (1, 2, 2, \ldots, 2, 1)$.

Proof. It is clear that there are two elements of length k in $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$, and they are a_k and b_k ($1 \leq k \leq m-1$). Between the elements of length k and those of length $k+1$, it is easy to show the relations $s_2 a_k = b_{k+1}$, $s_1 b_k = a_{k+1}$, $s_{\beta(k\theta)} a_k = a_{k+1}$ and $s_{\beta((m-k-1)\theta)} b_k = b_{k+1}$. Therefore we have the desired diagram. ☐

Let $\ell \in R$ be an element not fixed by any reflections of $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$. We divide the proof of Theorem 11 for $\mathrm{I}_2(m)$ into two steps.

Step 1: $\times \ell^m : R_0 \to R_m$ is bijective: Note first that there exists an element $g \in R_1$ with $g^m \neq 0$. Indeed, we have

$$(-1)^m m! u_1 u_2 \cdots u_m = \sum_{I \subset \{1,2,\ldots,m\}} (-1)^{\#I} \left(\sum_{i \in I} u_i \right)^m,$$

in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m]$ (see [Smi95, Lemma 2.4.1], e.g.). We substitute the m positive roots to $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m$, then the left-hand side is nonzero in R_m ([Hil81, Corollary 3.3]). Hence there exists $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\left(\sum_{i \in I} u_i\right)^m \neq 0$, and $g = \sum_{i \in I} u_i \in R_1$ is the desired element.

Let $\ell = aX_1 + bX_2$ be an element of R_1 not fixed by any reflections ($a, b \in \mathbb{R}$). The (unique) matrix coefficient of the map $\times \ell^m$ is a polynomial f in a and b of total degree m, and not identically zero as noted above. The polynomial f is divisible by every linear form defining a reflecting hyperplane, since it becomes zero when ℓ is fixed by a reflection (see Theorem 5). The number of the reflections is equal to m, and therefore the polynomial f should be the product of m linear forms defining the reflecting hyperplanes. Hence $\times \ell^m : R_0 \to R_m$ is bijective, since ℓ is not fixed by any reflections, that is, not on any reflecting hyperplanes.

Step 2: $\times\ell : R_k \to R_{k+1}$ is bijective ($1 \le k \le m-2$): If we prove this step, then $\times \ell^{m-2i} : R_i \to R_{m-i}$ is bijective for every $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. Hence, together with Step 1, the element ℓ is proved to be a strong Lefschetz element. In the rest of this subsection we prove this step.

By [Hil81], the coinvariant ring R of a Coxeter group W has a linear basis $\{X_w \mid w \in W\}$, where X_w is a homogeneous element of degree $l(w)$, and they enjoy the following *Pieri formula* [Hil81, Corollary 4.6]:

$$X_{s_\alpha} X_w = \sum_{\substack{\beta\text{: positive root,}\\ l(ws_\beta)=l(w)+1}} \frac{2(\beta, \varpi_\alpha)}{(\beta,\beta)} X_{ws_\beta} \qquad (\alpha\text{: simple root}), \tag{3}$$

where $\varpi_\alpha \in R_1$ denotes the fundamental weight corresponding to a simple root α (i.e. $2(\alpha', \varpi_\alpha)/(\alpha', \alpha') = \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ for every simple root α'). In the case of $W = \mathrm{I}_2(m)$, the simple roots are $\beta(0)$ and $\beta((m-1)\theta)$, and it is easy to see that the corresponding fundamental weights are $\beta(\pi/2-\theta)/2\sin\theta$ and $\beta(0)/2\sin\theta$, respectively. Thus using the Pieri formula (3), we can compute as

$$\begin{aligned} X_{s_1} X_{a_k^{-1}} &= \sum_{\beta=\beta((m-1)\theta),\beta(k\theta)} \frac{2(\beta,\varpi_1)}{(\beta,\beta)} X_{a_k^{-1}s_\beta} \\ &= 2\left(\beta((m-1)\theta), \frac{\beta(\pi/2-\theta)}{2\sin\theta}\right) X_{b_{k+1}^{-1}} + 2\left(\beta(k\theta), \frac{\beta(\pi/2-\theta)}{2\sin\theta}\right) X_{a_{k+1}^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{\sin(k+1)\theta}{\sin\theta} X_{a_{k+1}^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

One can similarly compute the other actions of $\times X_{s_i}$ on $X_{a_k^{-1}}$ and on $X_{b_k^{-1}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &X_{s_1} X_{b_k^{-1}} = X_{a_{k+1}^{-1}} + \frac{\sin k\theta}{\sin\theta} X_{b_{k+1}^{-1}}, \\ &X_{s_2} X_{a_k^{-1}} = X_{b_{k+1}^{-1}} + \frac{\sin k\theta}{\sin\theta} X_{a_{k+1}^{-1}}, \qquad X_{s_2} X_{b_k^{-1}} = \frac{\sin(k+1)\theta}{\sin\theta} X_{b_{k+1}^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the matrix representations of the multiplication maps $\times X_{s_i} : R_k \longrightarrow R_{k+1}$ with respect to the bases $\{X_{a_k^{-1}}, X_{b_k^{-1}}\}$ and $\{X_{a_{k+1}^{-1}}, X_{b_{k+1}^{-1}}\}$ are

$$X_{s_1} = \begin{pmatrix} p_k & 1 \\ 0 & p_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_{s_2} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{k+1} & 0 \\ 1 & p_k \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{where } p_k = \frac{\sin k\theta}{\sin\theta}. \tag{4}$$

If we write $\ell = aX_{s_1} + bX_{s_2}$ $(a, b \in \mathbb{R})$, then the matrix representation of $\times\ell : R_k \longrightarrow R_{k+1}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} ap_k + bp_{k+1} & a \\ b & ap_{k+1} + bp_k \end{pmatrix},$$

by Equation (4), and its determinant is equal to $(ap_k + bp_{k+1})(ap_{k+1} + bp_k) - ab = (a^2 + b^2)p_k p_{k+1} + ab(p_k^2 + p_{k+1}^2 - 1)$. Here a or b is nonzero, since ℓ is not fixed by any reflections, and we may assume that $b \neq 0$ without loss of generality. Set $t = a/b$, and the determinant then equals $b^2(p_k p_{k+1} t^2 + (p_k^2 + p_{k+1}^2 - 1)t + p_k p_{k+1})$. To show that this expression has no zeros it suffices to show that the discriminant of this quadratic polynomial in t is negative. The discriminant is $(p_k^2 + p_{k+1}^2 - 1)^2 - 4(p_k p_{k+1})^2$, and it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&(\sin k\theta + \sin(k+1)\theta + \sin\theta)(\sin k\theta + \sin(k+1)\theta - \sin\theta) \\ &\qquad \times (\sin k\theta - \sin(k+1)\theta + \sin\theta)(\sin k\theta - \sin(k+1)\theta - \sin\theta)/\sin^4\theta.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the fourth factor is negative, and the other factors are positive. We thus have proved that $\times\ell : R_k \longrightarrow R_{k+1}$ is bijective for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m-2$, and hence Step 2 is completed.

5.2 The coinvariant ring of H_3

In this subsection we prove Theorem 11 for the type H_3 using the computer algebra system Macaulay 2. The Coxeter group of type H_3 acts on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and hence on $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. By [Meh88, 2.6], the fundamental invariant polynomials of H_3 are $I_{2\cdot 1}$, $I_{2\cdot 3}$ and $I_{2\cdot 5} \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ given by

$$I_{2\cdot k} = \sum_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} (\tau x_i + x_j)^{2k} + (\tau x_i - x_j)^{2k}, \quad \tau = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}.$$

Thus the coinvariant ring R is realized as $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(I_{2\cdot 1}, I_{2\cdot 3}, I_{2\cdot 5})$. The socle degree of R is equal to 15.

We can take the simple roots $\alpha_1 = x_1 - \tau x_2 - \tau^2 x_3$, $\alpha_2 = x_2$ and $\alpha_3 = x_3$ [Meh88, 2.6], and the corresponding fundamental weights are $\varpi_1 = x_1$, $\varpi_2 = \tau x_1 + x_2$, and $\varpi_3 = \tau^2 x_1 + x_3$ up to positive multiples. We take $\ell \in R_1$ as

$$\ell = a_1\varpi_1 + a_2\varpi_2 + a_3\varpi_3 = (a_1 + \tau a_2 + \tau^2 a_3)x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 x_3,$$

where a_1, a_2 and a_3 are any positive numbers. Then ℓ is in the fundamental Weyl chamber. By the transitivity of the group action on the Weyl chambers, it suffices to show that ℓ is a strong Lefschetz element to prove Theorem 11. We compute the determinants of the linear maps $\times\ell^{15-2i} : R_i \to R_{15-i}$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, 7)$ using the following program of Macaulay 2.

SOURCE 13.

```
ACoef = QQ[tau];
ICoef = ideal(tau*tau-tau-1);
RCoef = ACoef / ICoef;
toField RCoef;

I2 = (k, X1, X2, X3) -> ((tau*x1 + x2)^(2*k) + (tau*x1 - x2)^(2*k) +
                         (tau*x2 + x3)^(2*k) + (tau*x2 - x3)^(2*k) +
                         (tau*x3 + x1)^(2*k) + (tau*x3 - x1)^(2*k));
IdealOfInvariants = (X1, X2, X3) -> ideal({ I2( 1, X1, X2, X3),
                                            I2( 3, X1, X2, X3),
                                            I2( 5, X1, X2, X3) });

A’ = RCoef[x1, x2, x3];
R’ = A’ / IdealOfInvariants(x1, x2, x3);

matrixOfBasisOfR’ = apply( { 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10,
                  11, 12, 13, 14, 15 } , k -> basis( {k}, R’ ) );
BasisOfR’ = apply( matrixOfBasisOfR’, m -> first entries(m));

A = RCoef[x1, x2, x3, a1, a2, a3, b1, b2, b3];
R = A / IdealOfInvariants(x1, x2, x3);

R’2R = map( R , R’ );
BasisInR = apply( BasisOfR’,
     basisOfHomogeSp -> apply(  basisOfHomogeSp , f -> R’2R(f)));

l = b1*x1 + b2*x2 + b3*x3;

coef = f ->  coefficients({0, 1, 2}, f);
vectorPresentation = ( tworowedarray, indexset ) -> (
 h = hashTable transpose apply(tworowedarray, m -> first entries m);
 apply(indexset, key -> (if h#?key then h#key else 0)) );

scan({0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7}, i ->(
d1=i; d2=15-i;
<< "[[ l^"<< r <<": R" << d1 << "->R" << d2 << " ]]" << endl;
 << "  [ basis ]" << endl;
basisOfDomain = BasisInR_(i);
basisOfRange  = BasisInR_(15-i);
 << "  [ image of basis of domain ]" << endl;
imageOfBasis = apply( basisOfDomain, m -> ( m*l^(15-2*i) ) );
 << "  [ presentation matrix ]" << endl;
presentMatrix = matrix apply( imageOfBasis, polynomialOfImage ->
      vectorPresentation( coef(polynomialOfImage), basisOfRange ));
 << "  [ det of matrix ]" << endl;
detOfl = det presentMatrix;
 << "  [ subsut det of matrix ]" << endl;
detOflInFWC = substitute( detOfl,
      { b1 => (a1 + tau*a2 + tau^2*a3), b2 => a2, b3 => a3 });
 << "  [ print substed det ]" << endl;
print( toString detOflInFWC );
))
```

From the output of Source 13, we get the determinants f_i of $\times\lambda = \ell^{15-2i} : R_i \to R_{15-i}$. The determinants f_i are polynomials in a_1, a_2, a_3, in which monomials are of the form $(\alpha\tau + \beta)a_1^s a_2^t a_3^u$ $(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q})$. As listed in Table 2, the coefficients $\alpha\tau + \beta$ are all positive for $i = 0, 2, 4, 6, 7$, and all negative for $i = 1, 3, 5$. Therefore the determinants f_i are nonzero for every i, since $a_1, a_2, a_3 > 0$. Thus we have proved that ℓ is a Lefschetz element, and hence Theorem 11 for H_3.

6 Parabolic invariants

In this section, we study the parabolic invariants of the coinvariant rings of finite Coxeter groups, and we give the necessary and sufficient condition (Theorems 15 and 16) for the strong Lefschetz elements of the parabolic invariants of Weyl groups. Thus our second main theorem (Theorem 2) is proved by Theorems 15 and 16.

Let W be a finite Coxeter group, $S_0 \subset W$ the set of the simple reflections, and Δ the corresponding root system. For a subset $S \subset S_0$, the subgroup

Table 2: The number of monomials in f_i with coefficient $\alpha\tau + \beta$.

f_i	$\alpha>0$, $\beta>0$	$\alpha=0$, $\beta>0$	$\alpha>0$, $\beta=0$	$\alpha<0$, $\beta<0$	$\alpha=0$, $\beta<0$	$\alpha<0$, $\beta=0$	total	
f_0	82	1	1	0	0	0	84	1.3 sec
f_1	0	0	0	650	1	1	652	25.5 sec
f_2	1362	1	1	0	0	0	1364	174.0 sec
f_3	0	0	0	1843	1	1	1845	541.9 sec
f_4	1877	1	1	0	0	0	1879	6919.2 sec
f_5	0	0	0	1422	1	1	1424	84820.4 sec
f_6	680	1	1	0	0	0	682	9144.2 sec
f_7	86	1	1	0	0	0	88	0.3 sec

W_S of W generated by S is called a *parabolic subgroup* of W. The *parabolic invariant* R^{W_S} of R is the graded subalgebra of the coinvariant ring R of W, defined by

$$R^{W_S} = \{f \in R \; ; \; \; f \text{ is } W_S\text{-invariant}\}. \tag{5}$$

When W is a Weyl group, it is known that R^{W_S} is isomorphic to the cohomology ring $H^*(G/P, \mathbb{R})$ of the partial flag variety G/P with $R_d^{W_S} \simeq H^{2d}(G/P, \mathbb{R})$, where G is the connected and simply-connected complex Lie group corresponding to the root system Δ, and P is its parabolic subgroup whose Weyl group is equal to W_S (see [BGG73, Theorem 5.5] e.g.). The following proposition describes the basic structure of the parabolic invariant R^{W_S} [Hil81, Corollary 5.4].

Proposition 14. *Let W^S be the set of the coset representatives of minimum length of the coset space W/W_S. We have the decomposition of the parabolic invariant R^{W_S} into homogeneous components:*

$$R^{W_S} = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{m-m_S} R_d^{W_S}, \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{R}} R_d^{W_S} = \#\{w \in W^S \; ; \; l(w) = d\},$$

where m and m_S are the lengths of the longest elements of W and W_S, respectively. $\square$

The following theorem gives the necessary condition for strong Lefschetz elements of the parabolic invariant R^{W_S}, and the theorem is the analogue of Theorem 5 which deals with the coinvariant rings R.

Theorem 15. *Let* $R^{W_S} = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{m-m_S} R_d^{W_S}$ *be the parabolic invariant of the coinvariant ring* R *of a (possibly non-crystallographic) finite Coxeter group* W*, defined in Equation* (5)*. If* $\ell \in R_1^{W_S}$ *is a strong Lefschetz element of* R^{W_S}*, then* ℓ *is not fixed by any reflections of* $W \setminus W_S$*.*

Proof. Let $\ell \in R_1^{W_S}$ be a strong Lefschetz element of R^{W_S}, and $s \in W$ a reflection not contained in W_S. Assume that ℓ is fixed by the action of s. Since the multiplication map $\times \ell^{m-m_S} : R_0^{W_S} \to R_{m-m_S}^{W_S}$ is bijective, $\ell^{m-m_S} \in R_{m-m_S}^{W_S}$ is nonzero. It follows from the assumption that the nonzero element $\ell^{m-m_S} \in R_{m-m_S}^{W_S}$ is fixed by the subgroup W' of W generated by W_S and the reflection s. Note that the number of reflections in W' is greater than that in W_S.

Fix a basis X_{w_0} of the one-dimensional space R_m. Define a symmetric bilinear form on R by $\langle f, g\rangle = a$, where a is defined by $fg = aX_{w_0} +$ (lower degree terms). In fact, this bilinear form gives a perfect pairing of R_d with R_{m-d}. Since R_m consists of anti-invariants, this bilinear form gives correspondence between W-submodules U of R_d and W-submodules U' of R_{m-d}, where U' is isomorphic to (sign representation) $\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}$ (dual of U). Thanks to this correspondence, there exists a nonzero anti-invariant with respect to the action of W' in R_{m_S}.

In general, for a reflection group which has m' reflections, the degrees of anti-invariants are at least m', since anti-invariants should be divisible by any linear forms which define reflecting hyperplanes. As noted above, the number of reflections in W' is greater than m_S, and this is a contradiction. We thus have proved that the strong Lefschetz element ℓ is not fixed by the reflection s. □

Next we prove that the condition in Theorem 15 is also the sufficient condition, when W is a Weyl group. To prove that $\ell \in R_1^{W_S}$ is a strong Lefschetz element, it is enough to show that $w(\ell)$ is a strong Lefschetz element of $w(R^{W_S})$, which is a graded subalgebra of R, for an element $w \in W$. Since $w(R^{W_S}) = R^{wW_Sw^{-1}}$, it suffices to show that

1. there exists a subset S' of S_0 such that $wW_Sw^{-1} = W_{S'}$,
2. $w(\ell) \in R_1^{W_{S'}}$ is in the Kähler cone, that is, the inner product $(w(\ell), \alpha)$ is positive for any simple roots α corresponding to $S_0 \setminus S'$,

where Δ is realized in R_1, and the W-invariant inner product $(,)$ is defined on R_1. We prove the following theorem along this line.

Theorem 16. *Let R be the coinvariant ring of a Weyl group W. Let S be a subset of the set of the simple reflections of W. Let W_S be the subgroup of W generated by S, and R^{W_S} the parabolic invariant. If $\ell \in R_1^{W_S}$ is not fixed by any reflections in $W \smallsetminus W_S$, then ℓ is a strong Lefschetz element of R^{W_S}.*

Proof. First we make some preparations on root systems. Let $\ell \in R_1$ be an element satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) $\ell \in R_1^{W_S}$, that is, ℓ is fixed by any reflections in W_S,

(ii) ℓ is not fixed by any reflections in $W \smallsetminus W_S$.

Let Δ_S be the root subsystem of Δ generated by the simple roots corresponding to S, and n and k the ranks of Δ and Δ_S, respectively. Let C be a closed Weyl chamber containing ℓ, and F its face defined as the intersection with the orthogonal complement of $\langle \Delta_S \rangle$, where $\langle \Delta_S \rangle$ denotes the real vector subspace of R_1 spanned by Δ_S. Note that $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} F \geq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \Delta_S \rangle = k$, and that $\ell \in F$ by Condition (i). The face F is an intersection of walls (i.e. faces of codimension one) of C defined by roots in Δ_S by Condition (ii). Since the number of such walls are at most k, we have $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} F = k$. We also remark that Condition (ii) is rephrased as that ℓ is in the interior of F. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k\} \subset \Delta_S$ be the roots defining the k walls containing F, where α_i is chosen as $(\alpha_i, C) \geq 0$ for every i. Then $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ is a basis of the real vector space $\langle \Delta_S \rangle$, and the subsystem $\langle \Delta_S \rangle \cap \Delta$ of Δ is generated by this basis, since this basis becomes a subset of the simple system when we regard C as the fundamental Weyl chamber. Note that $\langle \Delta_S \rangle \cap \Delta = \Delta_S$, since Δ_S is a parabolic root subsystem. Thus $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ is a base (i.e. can be a simple system) of Δ_S.

Based on the above preparations, the theorem is proved along the line indicated before. There exists $w \in W$ such that $w(C) = C_0$, where C_0 is the closed fundamental Weyl chamber. Thus the root subsystem $w(\Delta_S)$ of Δ has a base $S' := \{w(\alpha_1), w(\alpha_2), \ldots, w(\alpha_k)\} \subset \Delta$. This base is a subset of the simple system of Δ corresponding to S_0, since each $w(\alpha_i)$ defines a wall of C_0, and $(w(\alpha_i), C_0) \geq 0$. Therefore S' satisfies $w(\Delta_S) = \Delta_{S'}$, and hence $wW_Sw^{-1} = W_{S'}$.

The image $w(\ell)$ is in the interior of the face $w(F)$ of the fundamental Weyl chamber C_0. Therefore $w(l)$ is fixed by the reflections corresponding to the roots in $\Delta_{S'}$, and is not fixed by those corresponding to $\Delta \smallsetminus \Delta_{S'}$. This means that $w(\ell) \in w(R^{W_S}) = R^{W_{S'}}$ is in the Kähler cone of the corresponding homogeneous space G/P. Hence we have proved the theorem. ☐

Finally Theorems 15 and 16 prove our second main theorem (Theorem 2).

References

[BGG73] I. N. Bernšteĭn, Israel M. Gel′fand, and S. I. Gel′fand, *Schubert cells, and the cohomology of the spaces G/P*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **28** (1973), no. 3(171), 3–26, This article has appeared in English translation [Russian Math. Surveys 28 (1973), no. 3, 1–26]. MR MR0429933 (55 #2941)

[Bor53] Armand Borel, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), 115–207. MR MR0051508 (14,490e)

[Che58] Claude Chevalley, *Séminaire C. Chevalley, 1956–1958. Classification des groupes de Lie algébriques*, 2 vols, Secrétariat mathématique, 11 rue Pierre Curie, Paris, 1958. MR MR0106966 (21 #5696)

[GH78] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978, Pure and Applied Mathematics. MR MR507725 (80b:14001)

[Hil81] Howard L. Hiller, *Schubert calculus of a Coxeter group*, Enseign. Math. (2) **27** (1981), no. 1-2, 57–84. MR MR630960 (82m:14031)

[HMNW03] Tadahito Harima, Juan C. Migliore, Uwe Nagel, and Junzo Watanabe, *The weak and strong Lefschetz properties for Artinian K-algebras*, J. Algebra **262** (2003), no. 1, 99–126. MR MR1970804 (2004b:13001)

[Hum90] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR MR1066460 (92h:20002)

[Kle66] Steven L. Kleiman, *Toward a numerical theory of ampleness*, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 293–344. MR MR0206009 (34 #5834)

[Meh88] M. L. Mehta, *Basic sets of invariant polynomials for finite reflection groups*, Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), no. 5, 1083–1098. MR MR926338 (88m:20104)

[NW07] Yasuhide Numata and Akihito Wachi, *The strong Lefschetz property of the coinvariant ring of the Coxeter group of type H_4*, J. Algebra **318** (2007), no. 2, 1032–1038. MR MR2371985

[Smi95] Larry Smith, *Polynomial invariants of finite groups*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 6, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995. MR MR1328644 (96f:13008)